

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/963,736	09/26/2001	David C. Hovda	E-5-2	1485		
7.	590 03/14/2003					
SAJAY S. BAGADE, ESQ. ARTHROCARE CORPORATION 680 VAQUEROS AVE.			EXAMINER			
			COHEN, LEE S			
SUNNYVALE	", CA 94085-3523		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3739			
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>			J.			
		Application N	o. (a)	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
	_	09/963,736		HOVDA ET AL.				
*	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
ø		Lee S. Cohen		3739				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cov	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence add	dress			
THE N - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, he ywithin the statutory will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from t n to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co	mmunication.			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	······ •						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non	-final.					
3)□ Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims				e merits is			
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 26-41 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consid	eration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖾	Claim(s) 26-33 and 36-41 is/are rejected.							
7) 🖾	Claim(s) 34 and 35 is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requi	rement.					
Application	on Papers							
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	pted or b)☐ obje	ected to by the Exar	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)[_] 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , ,		ved by the Examine	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu see the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro							
Attachment	r(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	4) [ 5) [ . 6) [		(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PT0				
0.04	11-05		<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Application/Control Number: 09/963,736

Art Unit: 3739

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 29 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 29 sets forth an improper Markush recitation. Claim 36 – "the electrosurgical probe" lacks antecedent basis. Claims 37-38 – "distal end" per se lacks antecedent basis.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 26 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Manwaring (5,122,138). The size limitation is disclosed at column 5, lines 23-26.

Claims 26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Abele (5,860,974).

Application/Control Number: 09/963,736

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 26, 29, 40, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Lax et al (5,569,242).

Claims 26, 27, 29-31, 33, 38, 40, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Baker (5,514,130). The size limitation is disclosed at column 6, lines 47-48.

Claims 26-31 and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Edwards (5,800,429). The size limitation is disclosed at column 8, lines 27-28.

Claims 26, 29, 32, 33, 36, 40, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Goble et al (5,944,715).

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 34 and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Goble et al discloses a similar device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 703-308-2998. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 703-308-0994. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/963,736

'Art Unit: 3739

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Lee S. Cohen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

LSC March 12, 2003